

12725  
04/10/21



GOVERNMENT OF INDIA  
OFFICE OF THE PRINCIPAL COMMISSIONER OF CGST & CENTRAL  
KOLKATA- NORTH COMMISSIONERATE, CGST BHAWAN:

1<sup>ST</sup> FLOOR, 180, SHANTIPALLY, RAJDANGA MAIN ROAD, E M BYPASS KOLKATA-700107  
C. No. V(30)109/RTI/HQ/CGST & CX/Kol-North/2021

Dated: -

To  
Shri Jayant Kumar Nayak,  
87 Sindhu, Flat No.6, 1st floor,  
Marine Drive, Mumbai-400002

Sir/Madam,

**Sub: Information under the RTI Act, 2005 — Regarding.**


Please refer to your RTI application dated-06.09.2021, which has been received in this Commissionerate on 17.09.2021 and received to this section on 17.09.2021. Subsequently the said RTI application was registered at this office vide Registration No.118/RTI/Kol-North/2021 dated- 30.09.2021.

The desired informations as received from the AC(Legal), Kolkata North CGST&CX Commissionerate is enclosed herewith.

If you are aggrieved or dissatisfied with the above information, you may prefer an appeal within 30 (thirty) days of receipt of the information before the 1<sup>st</sup> Appellate Authority namely Ms. Mohsina Tabassum, Joint Commissioner & FAA, CGST & CX, Kolkata-North Commissionerate, O/o The Principal Commissioner of CGST & CX, 2nd Floor, Kendriya Utpad Shukh Bhawan, 180, Shantipally, Rajdanga Main Road, Kolkata-700107.

Encl: 01(One) sheets.

Yours faithfully,

  
(Indu Bikash Das)  
CPIO & Assistant Commissioner  
HQ, RTI Cell  
CGST: Kol-North Comm'te.

Dated: 01 OCT 2021

C. No. As above/ 16762  
Copy forwarded for information to: -

1. The Assistant Commissioner (Systems), Computer Cell, CGST & CX, Kolkata North Commissionerate with a request to upload the RTI application dated-17.08.2021, submitted by Shri Jayant Kumar Nayak, 87 Sindhu, Flat No.6, 1st floor, Marine Drive, Mumbai-400002 (enclosed Eight sheets).
2. The CPIO & Assistant Commissioner, CCO, O/o the Pr. Chief Commissioner CGST & CX, Kolkata Zone.

  
(Indu Bikash Das)  
CPIO & Assistant Commissioner  
HQ, RTI Cell  
CGST: Kol-North Comm'te.

  
Supt. (System)

12/16  
23/9/21



GOVERNMENT OF INDIA  
OFFICE OF THE Pr. COMMISSIONER OF CGST & CX, KOLKATA-NORTH  
COMMISSIONERATE  
GST BHAWAN:180, SHANTIPALLY:RAJDANGA MAIN ROAD:KOLKATA-700 107  
Phone:: 2441-7026 :: E-mail: [stkol.legal@gmail.com](mailto:stkol.legal@gmail.com)

C.No V(30)22/Law/RTI/CGST&CX/Kol-North/2019/15769

Dated:22.09 2021

To  
The CPIO & Assistant Commissioner  
CGST & Cx  
HQ, RTI Cell  
Kolkata North Commissionerate

22 SEP 2021

Sir,


Sub: RTI application dated 06.09.2021 filed by Shri Jayant Kumar Nayak, 87, Sindhu, Flat No.6, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Marine Drive, Mumbai-400002, being transferred under Sec:5(4) of RTI Act,2005- Reg.

Please refer to your letter issued under C.No.V(30)109/RTI/HQ/CGST&CX/Kol North/2021/15574 dated 20.09.2021 on the above mentioned subject.

As no W.P.A Nos. were mentioned in the enclosed documents, hence, this office is unable to inform whether the department filed reply to the petitions filed by M/s Mangalam Organics Limited or not.

This is for your information and necessary action at your end.

Yours sincerely,

  
(Subrato Mukherjee)  
Assistant Commissioner (Legal)  
CGST & CX,  
Kolkata North Commissionerate

# RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

ANNEXURE-A  
[SEE RULE 6 [1]]

Format of Application form under  
The Right to Information Rules, 2005

DATE: 06<sup>TH</sup> SEP, 2021

TO:

**PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER**  
THE COMMISSIONER OF CGST, KOLKATA NORTH  
(ERSTWHILE THE COMMISSIONER OF CENTRAL EXCISE KOLKATA -III)  
2<sup>ND</sup> FLOOR, GST BHAVAN 180, SHANTI PALLY, R.B. CONNECTOR  
KOLKATA - 700107.



1. Full name of the Applicant	JAYANTA KUMAR NAYAK 87 SINDHU, FLAT NO.6, 1 <sup>ST</sup> FLOOR, MARINE DRIVE, MUMBAI.400 002
2. Address	AS ABOVE I.D. ENCLOSED
3. Particulars of Information required	<p>PLEASE ANSWER / PROVIDE INFORMATION FOR MY RTI, POINT WISE WITH STATING MY POINTS AND YOUR ANSWER / INFORMATION BELOW IT, FOR CONVENIENCE PURPOSE:</p> <p>1. PLEASE INFORM HAS YOUR DEPARTMENT FILED REPLY TO THE PETITIONS FILED BY M/S. MANGALAM ORGANICS LIMITED PREVIOUSLY KNOWN AS DUJODWALA PRODUCTS LIMITED AS PER DETAILS ENCLOSED</p> <p>1. A. PLEASE INFORM IN CASE YOU ARE NOT ABLE TO SUPPLY THE INFORMATION, THEN PLEASE INFORM WHO AS KNOWN TO YOU CAN SUPPLY SUCH INFORMATION.</p> <p>1. B. I AM ENCLOSING BLANK INDIAN POSTAL ORDER FOR RS. 10/- ENABLING YOUR DEPARTMENT TO FILL THE CORRECT NAME UNDER WHICH IPO IS REQUIRED WHICH KINDLY DO.</p> <p>1. C. I AM REQUESTING FOR INFORMATION AS IT EXIST AND DO NOT TREAT MY RTI AS ASKING FOR OPINION.</p>
ii) #The period to which the information relates	
iii) \$ description of the information required	
iv) Whether information is required by post or person	BY POST
v) In case by Post (Ordinary,	ORDINARY


11827  
13/9/21

11827  
13/9/21  
M/S. MANGALAM ORGANICS LIMITED

Registered or Speed  
vi) Purpose for which the  
information is required

TO PROTECT RIGHT TITLE AND INTEREST

1. KINDLY REPLY AS PER TIME BOUND CONDITIONS OF RTI.
2. **PLEASE DO NOT DIRECT FOR REPLY TO WEBSITE ADDRESS FOR THE ANSWERS ASKED. KINDLY PROVIDE SPECIFIC RELEVANT REPLY PERTAINING TO MY RTI FOR THE QUESTIONS/CLARIFICATIONS ASKED AND IF THERE ARE ANY CHARGES TO PROVIDE THE SAME, THAT IS FOR PHOTO COPYING ETC, PLEASE LET ME KNOW. I WILL PAY TO GIVE ME ANSWERS.**
3. PLEASE MENTION, MY ASKED POINTS AND GIVE INFORMATION AS WHATEVER IS AVAILABLE.
4. KINDLY REPLY IN ENGLISH OR IN HINDI, FOR WHICH I SHALL BE OBLIGED.
5. PLEASE FORWARD MY RTI TO CONCERNED PERSON / DEPARTMENT UNDER COPY TO ME IN CASE THIS INFORMATION REQUESTED IS NOT WITH YOU.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JAYANTA KUMAR NAYAK

DATE: 06<sup>TH</sup> SEP, 2021  
PLACE: MUMBAI

F-11609/21.

DISTRICT : KOLKATA

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION  
APPELLATE SIDE



W.P.A NO. OF 2021

In the matter of :

An application under Article 226 of  
the Constitution of India;

Subject matter relating to :

Under Group- IV Head of- 0  
of the Classification List;

Central Notice Feb. 1984

CAUSE TITLE

Mangalam Organics Limited  
(Formerly known as Dujodwala  
Products Limited)

...Petitioner.

-Versus-

Union of India & Anr.

.... Respondents

Advocate-on-Record

  
MANIKA ROY  
Advocate

11, Old Post Office Street,  
First Floor (Room No.24)  
Opposite of Emerald House  
Kolkata - 700 001  
Mobile No.09830219793  
Email : [manikaroy29@yahoo.com](mailto:manikaroy29@yahoo.com)

F- 11610/21.

DISTRICT : KOLKATA

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION  
APPELLATE SIDE



W.P.A NO. OF 2021

In the matter of :

An application under Article 226 of  
the Constitution of India;

Subject matter relating to :

Under Group- IV Head of e  
of the Classification List;  
Central Emp. Act, 1944  
CAUSE TITLE

Mangalam Organics Limited  
(Formerly known as 'Dujodwala  
Products Limited)

...Petitioner.

-Versus-

Union of India & Anr.

... Respondents

Advocate-on-Record.

*Manika Roy*  
MANIKA ROY  
Advocate

11, Old Post Office Street,  
First Floor (Room No.24)  
Opposite of Emerald House  
Kolkata - 700 001  
Mobile No.09830219793  
Email : [manikaroy29@yahoo.com](mailto:manikaroy29@yahoo.com)

F. 11811/2021.

DISTRICT - KOLKATA

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION  
APPELLATE SIDE

W.P.A NO. OF 2021

In the matter of:

An application under Article 226 of  
the Constitution of India;

Subject matter relating to:

Under Group-14 (Revenue) Head of-e  
of the Classification List;



CAUSE TITLE

Mangalam Organics Limited  
(Formerly known as 'Dujodwala  
Products Limited)

... Petitioner.

-Versus-

Union of India & Anr.

.... Respondents

Advocate-on-Record

*Manika Roy*

MANIKA ROY

Advocate

11, Old Post Office Street,

First Floor (Room No.24)

Opposite of Emerald House

Kolkata - 700 001

Mobile No. 09830219793

Email : [manikaroy29@yahoo.com](mailto:manikaroy29@yahoo.com)

# CM's information officer fined Rs 25,000 for delaying RTI reply

Query filed by ex-Central Information Commissioner Shailesh Gandhi

| Yogesh.Nalk  
@timesgroup.com

TWEETS @yogeshMIRROR

**T**he state information commission has levied a fine of Rs 25,000 on an officer from the Chief Minister's Secretariat for not giving information on time to former central information commissioner **Shailesh Gandhi (in picture)**.

Gandhi had asked for information on number of grievances filed and redressed on the Maharashtra government's online portal, and also for a copy of these on a CD, on November 13, 2015.

The general administration department (GAD) said it did not have the information and sent it to the CM's office, which returned it to GAD on December 4, 2015. On

January 2, 2016, GAD transferred this to the Technical Directorate, which in turn appears to have passed on the case. Ultimately, the principal information officer (PIO) in the CM's office, Desk Officer Anagha Sathe, in a letter dated April 2 (posted on May 3), said about 9,000 grievances were filed and most were resolved, Gandhi said.

"To my request for copies of the grievances, the PIO [Sathe] invoked Section 8 (1) (f) of the RTI Act, which exempts 'information received in confidence from foreign government'," Gandhi told *Mirror*. Sathe first sent it back to GAD, and ultimately gave only part information, Gandhi said.

Subsequently, an intrusion into the privacy of complainants was cited as a reason to withhold the information, and on November 17,

2015, GAD transferred the request to the CM's office. On December 4, 2015, the CM's office sent the RTI application back to GAD.

"In a reply dated January 2, GAD said the grievance redressal portal was developed with the cooperation of the Technical Directorate, hence the information sought by me was discussed informally with the concerned officers of the directorate. My original application dated 13/11/2015 was being sent to the Technical Directorate, Mumbai with a request to provide the required information to me," Gandhi said.

In a reply dated June 15, 2016, Sathe sent a response giving some figures, but refused to provide a CD of the grievances, or how they were redressed, claiming exemption under Section 8 (1) (f), Gandhi said.

Finally this year, Gandhi filed a second appeal with the State Information Commission. The commission, unfortunately, upheld the denial of the CD, claiming it could lead to the disclosure of information relating to privacy, and was hence exempt under Section 8 (1) (j) of the RTI Act. But last week, the commission imposed a penalty of Rs 25,000 on Sathe.

"This indicates that the grievance portal is perhaps just a façade, since nobody seemed to know who handles it. The PIO [Sathe] in the CMO gave part-information and claimed the grievances were given in confidence by a foreign government, meaning they are 'secret' secrets. The reason for not giving detailed information was put down to intrusion on the privacy of the complainants," Gandhi said. "This is a unique, hilarious experience for me in RTI. It was a ping-pong match ending with a penalty."

